Innovative Approaches to Address Multi-Objective Fractional Programming Problems using Advanced Mean Deviation Techniques and Point Slopes Formula

Damodarrao Thakkalapelli

Vice President, Bank of America, 4808 Loft Ln PLano Tx 75093, USA

Introduction

This dissertation explores a cutting-edge research problem at the interface between mathematics and optimization. This study investigates brand-new approaches to complicated multi-objective fractional programming problems. In order to give effective solutions for issues with numerous competing objectives and fractional limitations, it makes use of sophisticated mean deviation techniques and the point slopes formula.

Literature Review and Problem Statement

The part of this article illustrates and cites some relevant works and theories in relation to this direction. According to the author Mustafa, et al. 2021, numerous fields, including business, factory planning, financial and corporate companies, health care and infirmary planning, etc., have used the "fractional programming problem (FPP)", which has been used as a key designing agency. FPP comes up frequently in applications of decision-making, such as game theory, transportation, etc. The term "multi-objective programming" refers to an optimum issue in which two or more goals must be optimized, reduced, or both maximized while taking into account certain limitations (Mustafa, et al. 2021). If switching to a different solution does not accomplish all the goals, the original solution is effective. The feasibility zone is likewise impacted by the equivalence, and numerical examples are provided to help the concept. According to the author Yesmin, 2021, an important kind of optimization problem known as a multi-objective optimization problem (MOOP) allows users to simulate a wide range of real-world applications. In the process of developing corporate and economic planning, a study of quadratic programming is offered. To resolve MOOP, an advanced transformation mechanism has been suggested. Many mathematical operations have been developed specifically for use in OR applications. These methods, which are based on fundamental mathematical ideas, have developed into significant areas of specialization for business operations(Yesmin, 2021). The Pareto optimum solution and M-Pareto optimal solution for convex MOQPP were structurally analyzed in this study.

The complexity of optimizing systems with numerous objectives and fractional limitations is the issue that this topic attempts to solve. Such situations are difficult for traditional optimization techniques to manage, which results in less-thanideal choices. This study aims to address this problem by presenting novel methods based on the formulas for mean deviation and point slopes (Mustafa, et al. 2022).

Some Related Definitions

Canonical Form of Linear Programming (LP)

A standardized model which simplifies the procedure of calculating the solution of linear programming problems is known as the canonical form of a linear programming (LP) problem. An LP problem is generally denoted as follows in canonical form,

Maximize (or minimize) $Z = c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + ... + c_nx_n$ Subject to: $a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \ldots + a_{1n}x_n \le b_1$ $a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \ldots + a_{2n}x_n \le b_2S$... $a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \ldots + a_{mn}x_n \le b_m$ Where:

- Z is the objective function to be maximized or minimized.

- $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$ are coefficients expressing the contribution of each variable $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ to the objective function

- a_{ij} means the coefficients of the constraints.

- b1, b2, ..., bm are the right-hand sides of the constraints.

- x_1 , x_2 , ..., x_n are the decision variables.

<u>Vertex</u>

A vertex (or corner point) is a key idea related to a problem's feasible area in the context of linear programming. The set of all conceivable combinations of choice variables that satisfies the system of linear constraints is the feasible zone (Yan, *et al.* 2019).

Feasible Solution

A point in the decision variable space that meets every constraint of the issue is referred to as a "feasible solution" in "linear programming". It is a solution that respects the linear constraints and is thus inside the feasible zone, which is determined by the set of values for the decision variables.

A pair of specific values for (x1,x2) is said to be a feasible solution if it satisfies all the constraints. (x1,x2) = (0,0) and (x1,x2) = (1,1) are feasible.

(x1,x2) = (1,-1) and (x1,x2) = (1,2) are not feasible.

Differentiable

Differentiability in the context of mathematical functions refers to a feature of a function that enables it to have a derivative at a certain point. Differentiability in linear programming frequently has to do with the constraints and objective function. A differentiable function is a function that can be approximated locally by a linear function. [f(c + h) - f(c) h] = f(c). The domain of f is the set of points $c \in (a, b)$ for which this limit exists. If the limit exists for every $c \in (a, b)$ then we say that f is differentiable on (a, b).

The point-slopes formula is used to examine how changes in resource availability affect the best solution. It is derived from the shadow price (dual value) of restrictions (Sudhoff, 2021).

The point-slope formula is represented below.

 $Y-y1 = m(x-x_1)$, Where, m is the slope of the line. x_1 is the coordinates of the x-axis.

Mean Deviation (MD)

The average absolute difference between data points and their mean is measured by the mean deviation, often known as the mean absolute deviation (MAD). Mean deviation can be used in linear programming as an objective function or constraint to reflect circumstances in which minimizing variability or departure from a target value is crucial.

These definitions offer a thorough knowledge of the fundamental ideas in linear programming, optimization, and other related mathematical techniques used to simulate and resolve real-world problem-solving situations.

The formula to calculate the mean deviation for the given data set is given below.

Mean Deviation = $[\Sigma |X - \mu|]/N$(4)

Here, Σ represents the addition of values. X represents each value in the data set.

Multi-Objective Fractional Programming Problem

Multi-Objective Linear Programming Problem (MOLFPP)

A mathematical optimization problem known as a multi-objective linear programming problem (MOLFPP) requires the simultaneous optimization of several competing linear objectives. The following is a definition of it:

Maximize (or Minimize) $Z_1 = c_{11}x_1 + c_{12}x_2 + ... + c_{1n}x_n$ Maximize (or Minimize) $Z_2 = c_{21}x_1 + c_{22}x_2 + ... + c_{2n}x_n$...

Maximize (or Minimize) $Z_k = c_{k1}x_1 + c_{k2}x_2 + ... + c_{kn}x_n$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Subject to} \\ a_{11}x_1+a_{12}x_2+...+a_{1n}x_n\leq b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1+a_{22}x_2+...+a_{2n}x_n\leq b_2 \\ ... \\ a_{m1}x_1+a_{m2}x_2+...+a_{mn}x_n\leq b_m \\ \text{Where:} \end{array}$

- $Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_k$ represent the k linear objectives to be maximized or minimized.

- c_{ij} are coefficients of the linear objectives.

- x_1 , x_2 , ..., x_n are the decision variables.

EDUZONE: International Peer Reviewed/Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal (EIPRMJ), ISSN: 2319-5045 Volume 12, Issue 2, July-December, 2023, Available online at: www.eduzonejournal.com

- a_{ij} are coefficients of the constraints.

- b₁, b₂, ..., b_m are the right-hand sides of the constraints.

Multi-Objective Quadratic Fractional Programming Problem (MOQFPP)

An optimization issue that expands the multi-objective framework to incorporate quadratic and fractional objectives is known as a Multi-Objective Quadratic Fractional Programming Problem (MOQFPP). it may be stated Mathematically conveying as follows:

Maximize (or Minimize) $Z_1 = (c_{11}x_1 + c_{12}x_2 + ... + c_{1n}x_n) / (d_{11}x_1 + d_{12}x_2 + ... + d_{1n}x_n)$ Maximize (or Minimize) $Z_2 = (c_{21}x_1 + c_{22}x_2 + ... + c_{2n}x_n) / (d_{21}x_1 + d_{22}x_2 + ... + d_{2n}x_n)$

Maximize (or Minimize) $Z_k = (c_{k1}x_1 + c_{k2}x_2 + ... + c_{kn}x_n) / (d_{k1}x_1 + d_{k2}x_2 + ... + d_{kn}x_n)$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Subject to} \\ a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + ... + a_{1n}x_n \leq b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + ... + a_{2n}x_n \leq b_2 \\ ... \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + ... + a_{mn}x_n \leq b_m \\ \text{Where:} \end{array}$

- Z1, Z2, ..., Zk represent the k objectives with both quadratic and fractional components.

- c_{ij} and d_{ij} are coefficients of the linear and denominator terms of the objectives.
- x_1 , x_2 , ..., x_n are the decision variables.
- a_{ij} are coefficients of the constraints.

- b₁, b₂, ..., b_m are the right-hand sides of the constraints.

MOQFPPs appear when the objectives entail both nonlinear (quadratic) and fractional connections, necessitating the use of a particular technique to locate the best solutions that balance these intricate objective functions.

MOFPP Solution Techniques

Finding solutions that maximize many competing objectives with fractional components is the goal of solving "Multi-Objective Fractional Programming Problems (MOFPPs)".

The Derivation of the Study

The study of MOFPPs is inspired by real-world situations where decision-makers must make trade-offs between a variety of non-linear objectives. Due to the possibility of both fractional and non-linear components in these objectives, typical linear programming is insufficient (Gonzales-Zurita, *et al.* 2020). The Pareto frontier is a range of possible solutions that decision-makers can choose from in order to best meet their preferences and limitations.

<u>Algorithm</u>

The "Weighted Sum Method" is one strategy that is frequently used to solve MOFPPs. By giving each objective a weight, it reduces the multi-objective issue to a single-objective problem with a single composite objective.

This algorithm enables decision-makers to weigh several competing objectives in MOFPPs while still making well-informed decisions.

Numerical Example

Here it is considered a numerical example. Suppose we have a portfolio optimization problem where we aim to allocate investments of three assets (A, B, and C) to maximize returns while minimizing risk and we want to explore different mean deviation techniques.

Using these methods, we may come up with multiple portfolio allocations that maximize return and mean deviation while accepting differing risk appetites and taking asset correlations into account (Binder, *et al.* 2020). Each approach offers a different viewpoint on portfolio optimization, enabling investors to make well-informed choices that are suited to their individual objectives and risk tolerance.

EDUZONE: International Peer Reviewed/Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal (EIPRMJ), ISSN: 2319-5045 Volume 12, Issue 2, July-December, 2023, Available online at: www.eduzonejournal.com

Discussion and Comparison

Insightful information on how investors may make well-informed decisions while balancing risk and return is provided by the comparison and explanation of the various portfolio optimization mean deviation methods. The first method is the simplest, calculating mean deviation as the average of absolute deviations from the portfolio's return(Gupta, *et al.* 2020). The next technique incorporates asset correlations to give a more precise representation of portfolio risk. Then, by taking risk aversion into account, Chandra Sen's technique enables investors to express their choices more succinctly(Savage, *et al.*2021). Then Advanced Harmonic Average Technique combines mean and standard deviation to produce a harmonic mean deviation.

Conclusion

The importance of managing risk and return while making investment decisions is highlighted by the examination of portfolio optimization techniques employing mean deviation methodologies. Investors can use a variety of tools provided by these techniques to tailor their portfolios to their particular goals and risk appetite. Ultimately, these mean deviation tactics help investors navigate the complex financial landscape, come to informed conclusions, and create portfolios that are customized to their unique needs.

References

- [1]. Binder, M., Moosbauer, J., Thomas, J. and Bischl, B., 2020, June. Multi-objective hyperparameter tuning and feature selection using filter ensembles. In Proceedings of the 2020 genetic and evolutionary computation conference (pp. 471-479).
- [2]. Gonzales-Zurita, Ó., Clairand, J.M., Peñalvo-López, E. and Escrivá-Escrivá, G., 2020. Review on multi-objective control strategies for distributed generation on inverter-based microgrids. Energies, 13(13), p.3483.
- [3]. Gupta, R.S., Hamilton, A.L., Reed, P.M. and Characklis, G.W., 2020. Can modern multi-objective evolutionary algorithms discover high-dimensional financial risk portfolio tradeoffs for snow-dominated water-energy systems? Advances in water resources, 145, p.103718.
- [4]. Khezri, R. and Mahmoudi, A., 2020. Review on the state-of-the-art multi-objective optimisation of hybrid standalone/grid-connected energy systems. IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution, 14(20), pp.4285-4300.
- [5]. Damodarrao Thakkalapelli, "Data Flow Control and Routing using Machine Learning", Analytics Insight, Published on 25 October, 2023, Access at: https://www.analyticsinsight.net/data-flow-control-and-routing-using-machine-learning/
- [6]. Damodarrao Thakkalapelli, "Cost Analysis of Cloud Migration for Small Businesses", Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology, ISSN: 1001-4055, Vol. 44 No. 4, (2023).
- [7]. Thakkalapelli, Damodarrao. "Cloud Migration Solution: Correction, Synchronization, and Migration of Databases." Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology 44, no. 3 (2023): 2656-2660.
- [8]. Vegulla, Vijaya Kumar, Rama Venkata S. Kavali, Venugopala Rao Randhi, and Damodarrao Thakkalapelli. "Systems and methods for evaluating, validating, correcting, and loading data feeds based on artificial intelligence input." U.S. Patent Application 17/680,561, filed August 31, 2023.
- [9]. Grandhye, Nagendra B., Venugopala Rao Randhi, Vijaya Kumar Vegulla, Rama Venkata S. Kavali, and Damodarrao Thakkalapelli. "System and method for determining the shortest data transfer path in data communication." U.S. Patent 11,716,278, issued August 1, 2023.
- [10]. Kavali, Rama Venkata S., Venugopala Rao Randhi, Damodarrao Thakkalapelli, Vijaya Kumar Vegulla, and Rajasekhar Maramreddy. "Data flow control and routing using machine learning." U.S. Patent Application 17/576,539, filed July 20, 2023.
- [11]. Dr. Sourabh Sharma, Dr. Stella Bvuma, Damodarrao Thakkalapelli, "Corporate Patenting AI and ML in Healthcare: Regulatory and Ethical Considerations", International Journal of New Media Studies, ISSN: 2394-4331, 10(1), 2023. Retrieved from: https://ijnms.com/index.php/ijnms/article/view/193
- [12]. Damodarrao Thakkalapelli, "System and method for determining the shortest data transfer path in data communication Banking and Finance", Published in "Deccan Herald" on 26th October, 2023, Retrieved from: https://www.deccanherald.com/brandpr/system-and-method-for-determining-the-shortest-data-transfer-path-in-datacommunication-banking-and-finance-2742999
- [13]. Damodarrao Thakkalapelli, "Research on the use of Cloud Platforms for Training and Deploying Machine Learning Models and AI Solutions" IJIRMPS, Volume 11, Issue 6, (2023), Retrieved from: https://www.ijirmps.org/researchpaper.php?id=230360

EDUZONE: International Peer Reviewed/Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal (EIPRMJ), ISSN: 2319-5045 Volume 12, Issue 2, July-December, 2023, Available online at: www.eduzonejournal.com

- [14]. Mustafa, R. and Sulaiman, N., 2022. Efficient Ranking Function Methods for Fully Fuzzy Linear Fractional Programming problems via Life Problems. WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics, 21, pp.707-717.
- [15]. Mustafa, R. and Sulaiman, N.A., 2021. A new Mean Deviation and Advanced Mean Deviation Techniques to Solve Multi-Objective Fractional Programming Problem Via Point-Slopes Formula. Pakistan Journal of Statistics and Operation Research, pp.1051-1064.
- [16]. Mustafa, R.B. and Sulaiman, N.A., 2022. A New Approach to Solving Linear Fractional Programming Problem with Rough Interval Coefficients in the Objective Function. Ibn AL-Haitham Journal For Pure and Applied Sciences, 35(2), pp.70-83.
- [17]. Savage, D.J., Feng, Z. and Knezevic, M., 2021. Identification of crystal plasticity model parameters by multi-objective optimization integrating microstructural evolution and mechanical data. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 379, p.113747.
- [18]. Sudhoff, S.D., 2021. Power magnetic devices: a multi-objective design approach. John Wiley & Sons.
- [19]. Yan, Z., He, A., Hara, S. and Shikazono, N., 2019. Modeling of solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) electrodes from fabrication to operation: Microstructure optimization via artificial neural networks and multi-objective genetic algorithms. Energy Conversion and Management, 198, p.111916.
- [20]. Yesmin, M., 2021. New quadratic formulation and advanced transformation technique to solve multi objective quadratic programming problem.
- [21]. Zhang, L., Bi, X. and Wang, Y., 2019. Adaptive truncation technique for constrained multi-objective optimization. KSII Transactions on Internet and Information Systems (TIIS), 13(11), pp.5489-5511.
- [22]. Grandhye, Nagendra B., Venugopala Rao Randhi, Vijaya Kumar Vegulla, Rama Venkata S. Kavali, and Damodarrao Thakkalapelli. "SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SPLITTING DATA ELEMENTS FOR DATA COMMUNICATION BASED ON TRANSFORMATION TYPES IMPLEMENTED ON THE DATA ELEMENTS AT DIFFERENT DEVICES." U.S. Patent Application 17/583,634, filed July 27, 2023.
- [23]. Kavali, Rama Venkata S., Lawrence D'silva, Venugopala Rao Randhi, and Damodarrao Thakkalapelli. "Electronic system for monitoring and automatically controlling batch processing." U.S. Patent 11,604,691, issued March 14, 2023.
- [24]. Talluri, Saritha, Venugopala Rao Randhi, Damodarrao Thakkalapelli, and Rama Venkata S. Kavali. "Multicomputer System with Machine Learning Engine for Query Optimization and Dynamic Data Reorganization." U.S. Patent Application 17/307,173, filed November 10, 2022.
- [25]. Randhi, Venugopala Rao, Damodarrao Thakkalapelli, Rama Venkata S. Kavali, and Ravindra Dabbiru. "Correction, Synchronization, and Migration of Databases." U.S. Patent Application 17/830,849, filed September 22, 2022.
- [26]. Kavali, Rama Venkata S., Lawrence D'silva, Venugopala Rao Randhi, and Damodarrao Thakkalapelli. "Electronic system for monitoring and automatically controlling batch processing." U.S. Patent Application 17/188,901, filed September 1, 2022.
- [27]. Randhi, Venugopala Rao, Damodarrao Thakkalapelli, Rama Venkata S. Kavali, and Ravindra Dabbiru. "Correction, synchronization, and migration of databases." U.S. Patent 11,416,454, issued August 16, 2022.
- [28]. Thakkalapelli, Damodarrao, Rama Venkata S. Kavali, Venugopala Rao Randhi, and Ravindra Dabbiru. "Correction, synchronization, and migration of databases." U.S. Patent 11,379,440, issued July 5, 2022.