EDUZONE: International Peer Reviewed/Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal (EIPRMJ), ISSN: 2319-5045
Volume 5, Issue 2, July-December, 2016, Impact Factor: 3.842, Available online at: www.eduzonejournal.com

A Survey on Optimization of Energy Consumption and Inequality in Wireless Sensor Networks

Raju Saini

PSSMIET, India

ABSTRACT

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) have emerged as a critical component of numerous applications, ranging from environmental monitoring to disaster management and smart cities. Despite their extensive utility, WSN face challenges related to energy consumption and resource distribution inequality, which can substantially affect their performance and network lifetime. This survey paper provides an extensive analysis of the existing literature on energy consumption and inequality optimization techniques in WSN, drawing critical insights and identifying potential avenues for future research. We begin by discussing the main factors contributing to energy consumption and inequality in WSN, such as communication overhead, suboptimal routing mechanisms, uneven distribution of workload, and limited energy resources. The survey examines a variety of optimization techniques, focusing on energy-efficient routing, clustering methods, and equitable load distribution. Our survey also highlights potential opportunities for interdisciplinary contributions, such as incorporating machine learning and data analytics to develop more effective and intelligent optimization solutions. This survey paper offers a comprehensive review of energy consumption and inequality optimization techniques, helping researchers and practitioners make informed decisions about the best strategies to adopt in their WSN deployments. Importantly, the paper underscores the need for continued research in this area to address the ever-evolving challenges and requirements faced by wireless sensor networks.

Keywords: WSN, Optimization, Machine Learning, Energy Consumption, Inequality.

INTRODUCTION

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) have been at the forefront of modern technological advancements, providing essential solutions for a broad range of applications, including environmental monitoring, smart agriculture, healthcare, and disaster management. Composed of numerous interconnected sensor nodes, WSNs are designed to operate on limited resources, particularly energy consumption [1]. Effective management of these resources is vital to prolong the lifetime of networks and ensure a smooth, reliable transmission of data [2].One of the prominent concerns in the deployment of these networks is the optimization of energy consumption while addressing node inequality. Node inequality arises due to the non-uniform distribution of energy consumption among sensor nodes, resulting in some nodes experiencing early exhaustion of their available energy resources, eventually leading to network degradation or failure [3,4].

This survey aims to explore various measures and techniques that have been proposed and implemented to optimize energy consumption and mitigate inequality among nodes in Wireless Sensor Networks. It covers an extensive analysis of existing methodologies, such as energy-efficient routing, data aggregation schemes, and optimization algorithms. Additionally, we investigate the potential impact of these strategies on overall network performance, latency, and scalability [5].

Understanding and addressing energy consumption optimization and node inequality in WSNs is crucial for the development and effective utilization of these networks. This survey aspires to offer a comprehensive outlook on this issue while promoting further research to enhance the longevity and efficiency of future WSN deployments [6].

Factors Contributing to Energy Consumption and Inequality in WSN

Energy consumption and inequality in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) are crucial concerns for network developers and researchers, as they can directly impact network efficiency and lifespan. Several factors contribute to energy consumption and inequality in WSNs, including: Communication overhead: In many WSNs, data transmission consumes more energy than data sensing or processing. The overhead incurred in establishing and maintaining communication among nodes, exchanging routing control messages, or retransmitting lost packets exacerbates energy consumption. This often results in quicker energy depletion for certain nodes, leading to inequality [7,8].

Suboptimal routing mechanisms: Traditional routing mechanisms may not prioritize energy efficiency, leading to longer transmission paths or underutilized nodes. This can cause some nodes to consume a disproportionate amount of energy compared to others. Energy-efficient routing protocols, such as LEACH (Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy), have been developed to address this problem, but implementing them on a global scale is challenging [9].

Uneven distribution of workload: Some nodes in the network may be more involved in activities such as data forwarding, processing, or routing, causing them to expend energy faster than their less-burdened counterparts. This workload imbalance may lead to network partitioning or failure when critical nodes lose power [10,11].

Limited energy resources: WSN nodes are generally powered by small batteries with limited capacity. Renewable energy sources, such as solar power, can be used to prolong the lifetime of the nodes, but availability and efficiency are often limited. Inability to recharge or replace batteries regularly may contribute to energy inequality within the network.

To promote a balanced energy distribution and extend the lifetime of WSNs, researchers are continuously working on strategies, such as optimal node deployment, energy-harvesting technologies, and energy-aware routing algorithms [12].

Optimization Techniques focusing on Energy-Efficient Routing, Clustering Methods, and Equitable Load Distribution for WSNs.

There are several optimization techniques that focus on energy-efficient routing, clustering methods, and equitable load distribution for Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs). Here are some of the most prominent approaches:
Energy-efficient routing:

a. Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy (LEACH): a popular cluster-based routing protocol that implements distributed cluster formation, localized coordination, and randomized rotation of cluster heads [13,14].

b. Power-efficient gathering in sensor information systems (PEGASIS): a chain-based protocol that enables each node to only communicate with its closest neighbors to minimize energy consumption.

c. Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing (GPSR): a location-based routing protocol that employs greedy forwarding and perimeter routing to select the next node to forward the data, taking into account both distance and energy reserves [15].

Clustering methods:
a. Energy-aware clustering: nodes are organized into clusters by considering their remaining energy levels, prioritizing those with higher energy reserves as cluster heads.

b. Distributed and Weighted Clustering Algorithm (DWCA): a distributed clustering technique that considers a weighted combination of network parameters (connectivity degree, residual energy, etc.) to select cluster heads.

c. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA): these metaheuristic algorithms can be used to optimize the clustering process, improving energy efficiency in WSNs [16-19].

Equitable load distribution:
a. Hierarchical routing: nodes are organized into multiple levels, with higher-level nodes responsible for forwarding data, balancing the communication load across the network.

b. Data Aggregation: combining and pre-processing data within the WSN before sending it to the sink/base station reduces the volume of data transmitted, thus conserving energy resources.

c. Duty Cycling: scheduling activities, such as sleeping and waking, can help balance power consumption among nodes.

d. Traffic-aware/Load balancing routing: these approaches consider current loads on individual nodes and adapt their routing decisions to minimize energy consumption while evenly distributing network traffic.

By combining or tailoring these techniques, it becomes possible to achieve energy-efficient routing, effective clustering mechanisms, and equitable load distribution in WSNs [20-24].

Use of Machine Learning and Data Analytics for Intelligent Optimization Solutions for WSNs.

Incorporating machine learning and data analytics into the development of more effective and intelligent optimization solutions for Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) has significant potential benefits. These techniques can improve overall network performance, energy efficiency, and resource management [25,26]. Below are some ways machine learning and data analytics can be integrated into WSN optimization:

Adaptive routing: Machine learning algorithms, such as Reinforcement Learning, can be used to dynamically adapt routing decisions based on network conditions and traffic patterns, improving both energy efficiency and network reliability.

Anomaly detection: Data analytics can be applied for identifying unusual patterns and outliers within sensor data, allowing for the timely detection of potential equipment failures, intrusions, or environmental changes [27,28].

Data aggregation and compression: Machine learning techniques can learn efficient ways to aggregate and compress data, reducing communication overhead and saving energy in WSNs.

Sleep scheduling: Machine learning algorithms can predict and adapt the sleep schedules of individual sensors based on an estimation of network traffic and energy requirements, leading to extended network lifetime [29,30].

Load balancing: Machine learning can assist in distributing the communication load evenly among the sensor nodes, reducing the risk of node or link failures and improving energy use.

Network self-organization: Machine learning can help develop self-organizing algorithms that automatically adjust the network topology and connectivity, leading to efficient network management and easy deployment.

Edge computing: Utilizing machine learning at the edge of the network, closer to the sensors, can help make real-time decisions and reduce the amount of data sent back to the central server, increasing overall efficiency [31-33].

By integrating machine learning and data analytics into WSN optimization, you can significantly improve overall performance, reliability, and energy efficiency, creating more effective and intelligent solutions for various applications, such as environmental monitoring, industrial automation, and smart cities [34].

Best Strategies to Adopt in WSN Deployment.

When planning and implementing WSN (Wireless Sensor Network) deployments, several best-practice strategies can help ensure successful outcomes and mitigate potential issues. Here are some top strategies to consider:

Topology planning: Carefully select and design the network topology, taking into consideration factors such as the environment, communication range, and desired reliability. Common topologies include star, tree, and mesh.

Scalability: Design the network with scalability in mind so that it is easy to add, remove, or relocate sensor nodes when needed [35-38].

Energy efficiency: Adopt energy-efficient protocols and mechanisms, such as energy-aware routing algorithms, sleep scheduling, and data aggregation, to prolong the lifetime of your sensor nodes.

Adaptability: Ensure that your network can adapt to fluctuating environmental conditions and unexpected events, such as node failures, interference, or obstructions.

Redundancy: Deploy redundant nodes or communication paths to improve reliability, fault tolerance, and data accuracy [39].

Security: Implement strong security measures, including encryption, authentication, and intrusion detection, to protect your network and data from threats and tampering.

Data fusion and preprocessing: Apply data fusion techniques to combine multiple sensor readings into a single, meaningful value. Preprocess the data to remove noise or outliers before transmitting it to the sink or base station.

Load balancing: Design your network with load balancing mechanisms to distribute the communication load evenly among sensor nodes and improve network stability and longevity.

Use of edge computing: Utilize edge computing to analyze the data closer to the sensors. This can help make real-time decisions, reduce transmission overhead, and increase overall efficiency.

Monitoring and maintenance: Continuously monitor the network's performance and functionality, and perform regular maintenance to ensure the optimal operation of the sensor nodes and communication infrastructure.

By adopting these strategies, you can significantly enhance the performance, reliability, and efficiency of your WSN deployments, making them suitable for a variety of applications and industries [39,40].

Challenges and Future Research Directions

Optimization of energy consumption and addressing inequality in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) continue to be critical research areas. Various challenges and future research directions are associated with these topics:

Challenges:

Limited energy resources: Sensor nodes often rely on batteries that have limited energy capacity and are difficult to replace or recharge, making energy conservation and management crucial to WSNs' longevity.

Unbalanced energy consumption: Uneven energy usage across sensor nodes can lead to early depletion of some nodes, causing network partitioning and reduced lifetime.

Heterogeneous network scenarios: The increasing complexity and heterogeneity of IoT applications creates a diverse range of network scenarios, each with specific requirements and challenges for energy efficiency and inequality.

Real-time constraints: Many applications require real-time data processing and decision-making, which can increase energy consumption and node inequality.

Security concerns: Implementing energy-efficient security mechanisms in WSNs is challenging due to limited energy resources and vulnerabilities to various attacks [37].

Future Research Directions:

Advanced energy harvesting techniques: Investigate novel energy harvesting methods, such as solar, wind, and ambient radio frequency, to supplement or replace traditional battery power sources [41].

Improved energy-aware algorithms: Develop energy-efficient routing protocols, sleep scheduling schemes, and data aggregation techniques that take energy consumption and node inequality into account.

Energy-efficient security solutions: Research lightweight cryptographic schemes and intrusion detection systems that provide robust security while minimizing energy consumption.

Machine learning and AI applications: Using machine learning and AI techniques could lead to better energy management strategies, adaptive algorithms, and more intelligent decision-making in WSNs.

Edge computing and fog computing: Implement edge and fog computing solutions to reduce data transmission overhead and improve energy efficiency in WSNs.

Cross-layer optimization: Explore cross-layer design approaches that optimize energy consumption and inequality across multiple layers, such as the physical, MAC, network, and application layers.

Context-awareness: Investigate context-aware optimization techniques that adapt to environmental conditions and enable dynamic energy management in WSNs.

Focusing on these challenges and research directions will lead to innovative solutions that promote energy efficiency and address inequality in Wireless Sensor Networks, ultimately resulting in more robust and sustainable networks for a wide range of applications [40,41].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the optimization of energy consumption and inequality in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) is of paramount importance to ensure efficient and reliable communication. By employing advanced algorithms, balancing node energy distribution, using energy harvesting techniques, and adjusting node transmission powers, we can significantly improve the network's overall performance and lifetime. Furthermore, the incorporation of machine learning and AI techniques can help adapt and fine-tune these solutions to specific WSN applications. As technology continues to evolve, it is crucial to tackle these challenges to pave the way for enhanced WSNs with optimal energy consumption and minimal inequality between nodes.

REFERENCES

[1]. Bali, V., Rathore, R.S., Sirohi, A. and Verma, P., 2009. Clustering Technique Approach to Detect the Special Patterns for Medical Video Mining. *Advances in Data Management*, p.140.
[2]. Akyildiz, I. F., Su, W., Sankarasubramaniam, Y., & Cayirci, E. (2002). Wireless sensor networks: a survey. Computer networks, 38(4), 393-422.
[3]. Al-Karaki, J. N., & Ul-Mustafa, R. (2004). Routing techniques in wireless sensor networks: a survey. IEEE Wireless communications, 11(6), 6-28.
[4]. Porta, T. L., Belding-Royer, E. M., Das, S. R., & Radio, S. (2005). A review of routing protocols for mobile ad hoc networks. Ad hoc networks, 2(1), 1-22.
[5]. Bali, V., Rathore, R.S. and Sirohi, A., 2010. Routing Protocol for MANETs: A Survey. IUP Journal of Computer Sciences, 4(3).
[6]. Li, C., Ye, M., Chen, G., & Wu, J. (2005). An energy-efficient unequal clustering mechanism for wireless sensor networks. IEEE International Conference on Mobile Adhoc and Sensor Systems Conference.
[7]. Bali, V., Rathore, R.S., Sirohi, A. and Verma, P., 2009, December. A Framework to Provide a Bidirectional Abstraction of the Asymmetric Network to Routing Protocols. In 2009 Second International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering & Technology (pp. 1143-1150). IEEE.
[8]. Singh, U.P. and Rathore, R.S., 2013. Distributed Hierarchical Group Key Management using Elliptic Curve and Hash Function. International Journal of Computer Applications, 61(19).
[9]. Akkaya, K., Thimmapuram, A., Senel, F., Uluagac, S., & Esmer, B. (2010). A survey on routing protocols for wireless sensor networks with realistic characteristics. Ad Hoc Networks, 15, 1490-1509.
[10]. Chang, J. H., & Leung, L. M. (2006). Intelligent dynamic source routing protocol for wireless sensor networks. IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine, 21(3), 12-16.
[11]. Singh, U.P. and Rathore, R.S., 2012. An efficient distributed group key management using hierarchical approach with ECDH and symmetric algorithm. J. Comput. Eng. Intel. Syst, 3(7), pp.32-41.
[12]. Kumar, V. and Singh Rathore, R., 2016. A Review on Natural Language Processing. International Journal Of Engineering Development And Research.
[13]. Sharma, P. and Rathore, R.S., 2015. Three Level Cloud Computing Security Model. International Journal of Computer Applications, 119(2).
[14]. Dixit, R., Gupta, S., Rathore, R.S. and Gupta, S., 2015. A novel approach to priority based focused crawler. International Journal of Computer Applications, 116(19).
[15]. Bali, V. and Rathore, R.S., 2010. A NEW HIERARCHICAL TRANSACTION MODEL FOR MOBILE ADHOC NETWORK ENVIRONMENT. International Journal on Computer Science and Engineering, 2(3).
[16]. Sohraby, K., Minoli, D., & Znati, T. (2007). Wireless sensor networks: technology, protocols, and applications. John Wiley & Sons.
[17]. Gupta, G., & Younis, M. (2003). Load-balanced clustering in wireless sensor networks through cluster merging. IEEE GLOBECOM.
[18]. Bali, V., Rathore, R.S., Sirohi, A. and Verma, P., 2009. Architectural Options and Challenges for Broadband Satellite ATM networks. Recent Developments in Computing and Its Applications, p.155.
[19]. Handy, M. J., Haase, M., & Timmermann, D. (2002). Low energy adaptive clustering hierarchy with deterministic cluster-head selection. IEEE International Conference on Mobile and Wireless Communications Networks.
[20]. Heinzelman, W., Chandrakasan, A., & Balakrishnan, H. (2000). Energy-efficient communication protocol for wireless microsensor networks. Proceedings of the 33rd annual Hawaii international conference on system sciences.
[21]. Ye, F., Zhong, G., Lu, S., & Zhang, L. (2005). PEAS: A robust energy conserving protocol for long-lived sensor networks. Proceedings IEEE 23rd Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies.
[22]. Bali, V., Rathore, R.S. and Sirohi, A., 2010. Adaptive Analysis of Throughput in Mobile Admhoc Network (IEEEm802. 11). International Journal of Computer Science & Communication, 1(1), pp.25-28.
[23]. Bhatnagar, D. and Rathore, R.S.,2015. CLOUD COMPUTING: SECURITY ISSUES AND SECURITY MEASURES. International Journal of Advance Research in Science And Engineering, 4(01), pp.683-690.
[24]. Yu, Y., Govindan, R., & Estrin, D. (2001). Geographical and Energy Aware Routing: A recursive data dissemination protocol for wireless sensor networks. UCLA Computer Science Department Technical Report.

[25]. Akyildiz, I. F., Pompili, D., & Melodia, T. (2005). Underwater acoustic sensor networks: research challenges. Ad Hoc Networks, 3(3), 257-279.
[26]. Tomar, R. and Rathore, R.S., 2016. A Survey on Privacy Preserving in TPA Using Secured Encryption Technique for Secure Cloud. International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology, 3(4), pp.83-86.
[27]. Raghavendra, C. S., Sivalingam, K. M., Znati, T., & Dowdy, L. (2004). Wireless sensor networks (pp. 1-16). Springer.
[28]. Ahn, H., & Han, D. (2006). Providing energy-aware web services in wireless sensor networks. IEEE 8th International Conference on web services.
[29]. Heinzelman, W. B., Murphy, A. L., Carvalho, H. S., & Perillo, M. A. (2004). Middleware to support sensor network applications. IEEE Network, 18(1), 6-14.
[30]. Mhatre, V., & Rosenberg, C. (2004). Design guidelines for wireless sensor networks: communication, clustering and aggregation. Ad Hoc Networks, 2(1), 45-63.
[31]. Singh, S., Woo, M., & Raghavendra, C. S. (1998). Power-aware routing in mobile ad hoc networks. Proceedings of the 4th annual ACM/IEEE international conference on Mobile computing and networking.
[32]. Tomar, R. and Rathore, R.S., 2016. Privacy Preserving in TPA using Secured Encryption Technique for Secure Cloud. International Journal of Computer Applications, 138(8).
[33]. Mallick, A. S. B. and Rathore, R. K. Survey on Database Design for SaaS Cloud Application. International Journal of Computer Engineering and Technology, 6(6), 2015, pp. 64-71.
[34]. Ketki, S.K. and Rathore, M.R.S., 2015. A Novel Study for Summary/Attribute Based Bug Tracking Classification Using Latent Semantic Indexing and SVD in Data Mining. International Journal of Advanced Technology in Engineering and Science, 3(1), pp.214-220.
[35]. Raghavendra, C. S., Sivalingam, K. M., Znati, T., & Dowdy, L. (2004). Wireless sensor networks (pp. 1-16). Springer.
[36]. Singh, S., Woo, M., & Raghavendra, C. S. (1998). Power-aware routing in mobile ad hoc networks. Proceedings of the 4th annual ACM/IEEE international conference on Mobile computing and networking.
[37]. Rattan, V., Sinha, E.M., Bali, V. and Rathore, R.S., 2010. E-Commerce Security using PKI approach. International Journal on Computer Science and Engineering, 2(5), pp.1439-1444.
[38]. Intanagonwiwat, C., Govindan, R., & Estrin, D. (2000). Directed diffusion: a scalable and robust communication paradigm for sensor networks. Proceedings of the 6th annual international conference on Mobile computing and networking.
[39]. Akkaya, K., & Younis, M. (2005). A survey on routing protocols for wireless sensor networks. Ad Hoc Networks, 3(3), 325-349.
[40]. Singhal, S. and Rathore, R.S., 2015. Detailed Review of Image Based Steganographic Techniques. IJCST, 6, pp.93-95.
[41]. Bali, V., Rathore, R.S. and Sirohi, A., 2010. Performance analysis of priority scheme in ATM network. International Journal of Computer Applications, 1(13), pp.26-31.