EDUZONE: International Peer Reviewed/Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal (EIPRMJ), ISSN: 2319-5045
Volume 3, Issue 2, July-December, 2014, Available online at: www.eduzonejournal.com

A Literature Review on Theory of Motion and Forces

Neetu

MSc., Dept. of Physics, MDU, Rohtak, Haryana

ABSTRACT

Regular disciplinary instruction of starting physical science frequently misses an all encompassing viewpoint of the topic, its construction and progressive system. We have considered the space of mechanics and given such a viewpoint in a summative talk by outlining mechanics contents in discipline-culture structure. In the trial educating, we zeroed in on Newton's laws of movement as the core of old style mechanics. Taking into account mechanics as a culture, or at least, tending to the discussion with fringe originations, made understudies value the way that repairmen is about hypothesis of movement, while powers present just a specific origination to represent it.

Keywords: Theory, Motion, Forces, law, physics.

INTRODUCTION

Constructing meaningful knowledge and holistic understanding of the subject matter in learning science remains in the focus of research effort. Science generally looks for laying out primary information, a hypothesis, with progressive plan of its parts. Logical advancement presents reexamining of past hypotheses in reasonable challenge while normal showing disciplinary course frequently presents logical items univocally disregarding that discussion. Scientists contend for the reasonable variety, learning space for the ideas to be perceived . The discipline-culture (DC) construction of a hypothesis jam reasonable discussion by tending to components of fringe information in rival stand out from the obviously distinguished core (major ideas of the hypothesis). Such information was marked social substance information (CCK) . Making CCK through a rundown address was first applied as a defer coordinator as to showing optics This study extended the DC way to deal with educating mechanics. Mechanics is the first hypothesis understudies' experience in quite a while class. We will introduce a few consequences of our review, the selection of items in such rundown address matching the configuration of discipline-culture, parts of the effect on understudies' reasonable information as for Newtonian hypothesis as a the hypothesis of motion.

A force is an impact that can change the motion of an item. A force can make an item with mass change its speed (for example moving from a condition of rest), i.e., to speed up. Force can likewise be depicted instinctively as a push or a draw. A force has both size and course, making it a vector amount. It is estimated in the SI unit of newton (N). Force is addressed by the image F (previously P).

The first type of Newton's subsequent regulation expresses that the net force following up on an article is equivalent to the rate at which its energy changes with time. Assuming the mass of the item is steady, this regulation suggests that the speed increase of an article is straightforwardly relative to the net force following up on the item, is toward the net force, and is conversely corresponding to the mass of the article.

Ideas connected with force include: push, which builds the speed of an item; drag, which diminishes the speed of an article; and force, which produces changes in rotational speed of an item. In a lengthy body, each part generally applies forces on the nearby parts; the appropriation of such forces through the body is the inward mechanical pressure. Such inner mechanical anxieties cause no speed increase of that body as the forces balance each other. Pressure, the circulation of many little forces applied over a region of a body, is a straightforward kind of pressure that whenever lopsided can make the body speed up. Stress for the most part causes misshapening of strong materials, or stream in liquids.

FORCES

The essential ideas of forces, and force regulations. The majority of this material is indistinguishable from material canvassed in EN030, and is given here as a survey. There are a couple of extra segments - for instance forces applied by a damper or dashpot, an inerter, and interatomic forces are examined in Section 2.1.7. 2.1.1 Definition of a force Engineering plan computations almost consistently utilize old style (Newtonian) mechanics. In old style mechanics, the idea of a 'force' depends on exploratory perceptions that all that in the universe appears to have a favored setup - masses seem to draw in one another; objects with inverse charges draw in each other; magnets can repulse or draw in each other; you are presumably repulsed by your teacher. Be that as it may, we don't actually have any idea why this is (with the exception of maybe the final remaining one).

The possibility of a force is acquainted with evaluate the inclination of objects to move towards their favored setup. In the event that items speed up rapidly towards their favored setup, we express that there's a major force following up on them. On the off chance that they don't move (or move at consistent speed), then, at that point, there is no force. We can't see a force; we can reason its presence by noticing its impact.

Force	Newtons	Pounds Force
Gravitational Pull of the Sun on Earth	3.5×10^{22}	7.9×10^{21}
Gravitational Pull of the Earth on the Moon	2×10^{20}	4.5×10^{19}
Thrust of a Saturn V rocket engine	3.3×10^{7}	7.4×10^{6}
Thrust of a large jet engine	7.7×10^{5}	1.7×10^{5}
Pull of a large locomotive	5×10^{5}	1.1×10^{5}
Force between two protons in a nucleus	10^{4}	10^{3}
Gravitational pull of the earth on a person	7.3×10^{2}	1.6×10^{2}
Maximum force exerted upwards by a forearm	2.7×10^{2}	60
Gravitational pull of the earth on a 5 cent coin	5.1×10^{-2}	1.1×10^{-2}
Force between an electron and the nucleus of a Hydrogen atom	8×10^{-6}	1.8×10^{-8}

Specifically, Forces Are Defined Through Newton's Laws Of Motion

0. A `particle' is a small mass at some position in space.

1. When the sum of the forces acting on a particle is zero, its velocity is constant;

2. The sum of forces acting on a particle of constant mass is equal to the product of the mass of the particle and its acceleration;

3. The forces exerted by two particles on each other are equal in magnitude and opposite in direction.

Isaac Newton on a bad hair day The second law provides the definition of a force – if a mass m has acceleration a, the force F acting on it is

$$\mathbf{F = ma}$$

Of course,there is a big problem with Newton's laws – what do we take as a fixed point (and orientation) in order to define acceleration? The general theory of relativity addresses this issue rigorously. But for engineering calculations we can usually take the earth to be fixed, and happily apply Newton's laws. In rare cases where the earth's motion is important, we take the stars far from the solar system to be fixed.

Causes of Force Forces May Arise From A Number of Different Effects

(i) Gravity;
(ii) Electromagnetism or electrostatics;

(iii) Pressure exerted by fluid or gas on part of a structure
(v) Wind or fluid induced drag or lift forces;
(vi) Contact forces, which act wherever a structure or component touches anything;
(vii) Friction forces, which also act at contacts.

MOTION

An object is said to be in motion, if its position changes with respect to its surroundings in given time. If the position of an object does not change with the time, it is said to be at rest.

Example: A vehicle speeding on street, a boat on water, the development of a snail on the ground, a butterfly fluttering from one bloom to another, moon circumventing the earth are the instances of motion. At the point when an article or body rehashes its motion after some timeframe then being in occasional motion is said. for example Motion of the earth around the sun, motion of the moon around the earth, and so on.

Kinds of Motion

(I) Rectilinear Motion: Rectilinear motion is that motion where a molecule or body is moving along a straight line. for example : A vehicle continuing on a straight street.

(ii) Circular Motion: A round motion is that motion where a molecule or body is moving all around. Roundabout motion can be two - layered or three - layered. It is likewise an intermittent motion. for example The motion of a point set apart on the sharp edge of an electric fan or the hands of a clock.

(iii) Oscillatory Motion: Oscillatory motion is that motion where a body moves forward and backward or ever changing over and over about a proper point in an unequivocal time frame. This kind of motion is likewise a sort of intermittent motion, for example A swing.

Examples of different types of Motion	
Soldiers in a march past	Rectilinear motion
Bullock cart moving on a straight road	Rectilinear motion
Pedal of a bicycle in motion	Circular motion
Motion of a swing	Oscillatory motion
Motion of a pendulum	Oscillatory motion

Terms Related To Motion

• **Distance:** The length of the real way shrouded by a body in a period span is called distance. Distance is a scalar amount, which has extent as it were.

• **Odometer:** is an instrument used to gauge distance in vehicle.

• **Relocation:** The distinction between the last and the underlying place of an article is called removal. Uprooting is a vector amount, which has both greatness and heading.

In the event that a body is turning in a round way, after one revolution its uprooting will be zero however the distance made a trip will be equivalent to the perimeter of the circle.

• **Speed:** The distance shrouded by an article in unit timespan is known as the speed of the item.

$$\text{Speed} = \frac{\text{Distance}}{\text{Time interval}}$$

The unit of speed is meter/second or m/s.

• **Average Speed:** The average speed of a particle for a given interval of time is defined as the ratio of total distance traveled to the time taken.

$$\text{Average speed} = \frac{\text{Total distance travelled}}{\text{Time taken}}$$

In uniform motion, average speed is same as actual speed.

• **Velocity:** The displacement traveled by an object in a unit interval of time is called the velocity of object.

$$\text{Velocity} = \frac{\text{Displacement}}{\text{Time interval}}$$

Velocity is a vector quantity. Its unit is meter/ second or m/s.

Speed is always equal to or greater than magnitude of the velocity.

• **Average Velocity:** The average velocity of a particle for a given interval of time is defined as the ratio of total displacement traveled to the time taken.

$$\text{Average velocity} = \frac{\text{Total displacement}}{\text{Total time taken}}$$

OR $V_{av} = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{t_2 - t_1}$

As total displacement $= x_2 - x_1$, and time taken $= t_2 - t_1$

• **Relative Velocity:** The rate of change of position of a body with reference to moving observer is regarded as the relative velocity of the body w.r.t. observer.

When both the observer and the object to be observed are moving in same direction then, relative velocity

$= v_1 - v_2$

When both of them are moving in opposite directions then, relative velocity $= v_1 + v_2$.

• **Acceleration:** The rate of change of velocity of an object is called the acceleration of that object.

Suppose, the velocity of a moving object is v_1 at time tt_1 and becomes v_2 at time t_2. It means that in the time interval ($t_1 - t_2$), the change in the velocity of the object is($v_2 - v_1$). Hence, the average acceleration of the object in time interval ($t_2 - t_1$)is

$$a = \frac{v_2 - v_1}{t_2 - t_1} = \frac{\Delta v}{\Delta t}$$

The unit of acceleration is meter/second^2 or m/s^2.

• Assuming the speed of an article increments without change indirection, it is supposed to be moving with positive speed increase.

• Assuming the speed of an item diminishes without shift in course, the article is supposed to be moving with negative speed increase or deceleration or hindrance.

• An item is supposed to be moving with uniform speed increase assuming its speed changes by equivalent sums in equivalent timespans.

• An item is supposed to be moving with a variable speed increase in the event that its speed changes by inconsistent sums in equivalent time frames.

• Speed increase of an article is zero in the event that it is very still or is moving with uniform speed.

MOTION IN ANCIENT INDIAN SCIENCE

Old Indian masterminds had shown up at an intricate arrangement of thoughts on motion. Force, the reason for motion, was believed to be of various types : force because of constant strain (nodan), as the force of wind on a cruising vessel; influence (abhighat), as when a potter's bar strikes the wheel; determined propensity (sanskara) to move in a straight line(vega) or reclamation of shape in a versatile body; sent force by a string, bar, and so on. The thought of (vega) in the Vaisesika hypothesis of motion maybe comes nearest to the idea of latency. Vega, the propensity to move in an orderly fashion, was believed to be gone against by contact with objects including climate, a lined up with the thoughts of grinding and air obstruction. It was accurately summed up that the various types of motion (translational, rotational and vibrational) of a drawn out body emerge from just the translational motion of its constituent particles. A falling leaf in the breeze might have descending motion overall (patan) and furthermore rotational and vibrational motion (bhraman, spandan), however every molecule of the leaf at a moment just has a distinct (little) dislodging. There was significant spotlight in Indian idea on estimation of motion and units of length and time. It was realized that the place of a molecule in space can be shown by distance estimated along three tomahawks. Bhaskara (1150 A.D.) had presented the idea of 'immediate motion' (tatkaliki gati), which expected the advanced thought of quick speed utilizing Differential Calculus. The contrast between a wave and a momentum (of water) was plainly perceived; an ebb and flow is a motion of particles of water under gravity and ease while a wave results from the transmission of vibrations of water particles.

Discussion

The review from the trial affirmed for the positive effect of the performed explicit showing in a few significant perspectives. Those included comprehension of relationship among explicit ideas of mechanics and their status as components of information. Those included assortment of elements recognized in the writing as Nature of Science. They included enthusiasm for information structure and the information on elective records of motion (fringe), which particularly set off understudies' interest and interest. It is likewise obvious to the full of feeling effect of the talk through expanding understudies' self-assurance and want to keep learning physics. To this large number of angles, the creator have gotten subjective and quantitative help. The finding of the subjective investigation of a solid inclination to consider force idea as the focal plan of the hypothesis of mechanics is characteristic. There is, hence, an incredible contrast between the verifiable desire to represent motion in the interest of physicists as rationalists of nature and taking into account traditional mechanics as a hypothesis of forces for fledgling understudies situated to the practical part of critical thinking. The last option isn't wrong, but instead misses the colossal diachronic program of physics to uncover the idea of motion. It began in the main physics composition - Physics by Aristotle and finished, however not got done, in Newton's Principia and explicitly in Newton's Laws . The confined spotlight on forces which are just an achievement on the way, an episode in the terrific picture, "permits" understudies' such misinterpretations as force is the reason for motion, no force - no motion (S12r-19), force-speed proportionality (S12d-2.2), force is changed over into motion as well as the other way around, and activity response fairness is substantial just to "adjusted" bodies. Uncovering understudies' misinterpretations seeing Newton's Laws as a hypothesis of force shouldn't shock. Losing the idea of motion state in understudy comprehension of the

First Law, makes it exclusively the "forceless case" and prepares to the comprehension of force as the reason for endlessly motion as appearance of force. It likewise incites diminishing protection of the "amount of motion" (energy) exclusively to the absence of force, consequently missing the idea of (uniform) motion as regular condition of actual substances in traditional physics and the genuine beginning of preservation regulations Physics course readings frequently limit their elaboration of Newton's Laws to the apparatuses of useful worth, making force in focal point of any record, advancing algorithmic technique of critical thinking. Along these lines, understudies for sure addition trust in critical thinking. Force idea starts to lead the pack in any mechanical. Practically speaking, it isn't not difficult to track down a reading material with more extensive viewpoint expounding the calculated picture in which presentation of force was an answer of the record of motion by Newton's regulations. Our show introduced force just as a chance picked by Classical Mechanics, while current and old physics treat motion in an unexpected way. The calculated comprehension of the Third Law by our understudies before the talk was seen as likewise inadequate. Utilization of the law in static state was not the same as powerful circumstances, letting be that understudies couldn't legitimize that regulation. The first direct and basic evidence given by Newton drawing regulations was displayed at the talk. Simultaneously, our quantitative examination uncovered an unmistakable positive effect of showing the DC coordinated viewpoint on mechanics past individual scale, for various sorts of understudies. For sure, the 19% improvement and uncover understudies originations before the applied rundown address. give the underlying proof of the effect a few elements of information. The essential applied information on mass and energy showed improvement. The creator might add, in any case, the perception that the great understudies began with great information on the Second Law, didn't get a lot of gain in their capability in critical thinking. Our methodology refined general cases of the need to educate less yet completely, to make physics showing agent and fascinating, relate it to general setting. The creator highlighted the specific items in such cases which in any case stay dubious and, surprisingly, deceptive.

CONCLUSION

This article suggests the need of a comparing change in the plan of physics educational program by and large, taking on DC structure. It has been exhibited that even a summing up talk might encourage comprehensive viewpoint on the disciplinary information, enthusiasm for its progressive association at secondary school training. Rundown talk of the specific kind goes about as a coordinator and present defer coordinator of information and presents a possible method for arriving at significant learning. The examination medicinally affected the normal misinterpretations of force-motion relationship as well as understudies' perceiving Newton's Laws as hypothesis of motion instead of forces. However the last option may not impact critical thinking, it might work on broad comprehension of mechanics expected in additional investigations of different speculations of physics, like quantum and relativistic mechanics. Understudies got an opportunity to get a handle on the elements of logical information seldom examined in physics class: the hypothesis based nature, demonstrating, regulations, standards, legitimacy region, the situation with "being demonstrated" in science, and the possibility of calculated beginning of information. We consider this results being critical for the continuous discussion on the idea of logical information looking for standardizing items to embrace in science/physics schooling.

REFERENCES

[1]. Th. Kuhn (1962). The Structure of Scientific Revolutions. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
[2]. F. Marton, U. Runesson, & A. B. M. Tsui (2004). The Space of Learning. In F. Marton & A. B. M. Tsui (Eds.), Classroom discourse and the space of learning (pp. 3–40). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
[3]. M. Tseitlin & I. G I. Galili (2012). Promotion of Content Cultural Knowledge through the use of the History and Philosophy of Science.
[4]. O. Levrini, E. Bertozzi, M. Gagliardi, N. Grimellini-Tomasini, B. Pecori, G. Tasquier, & I. Galili (2012) Meeting the Discipline-Culture Framework of Physics Knowledge: An Experiment in Italian Secondary School.
[5]. Rozen, A. (2013). Newtonian Mechanics. Rehovot, Israel: Science Teaching Center.
[6]. F. W. Sears & M. W. Zemansky (1988). College Physics. Cambridge, MA: Addison-Wesley.
[7]. I. Galili, & M. Tseitlin (2003). Newton's first law: text, translations, interpretations, and physics education science..

[8]. Skemp, R. R. (1972). The Psychology of Learning Mathematics. Penguin Books, Middlesex, England
[9]. W. Taylor (1959). Physics the Pioneer Science. New York: Dover Publications.GIREP-MPTL 2006
[10]. Aristotle (1952). Physics. In The Works of Aristotle. Chicago, IL: Encyclopedia Britannica Great Books.
[11]. I. Newton (1687/1999). Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
[12]. L. C. McDermott (1984). Research on conceptual understanding in mechanics, Physics Today, July. American Institute of Physics.
[13]. E. Hecht (1996). Physics. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
[14]. A. N. Whitehead (1957). The Aims of Education. Collier/Macmillan, London.
[15]. S. J. Erduran & Z. R. Dagher (2014). Reconceptualizing the Nature of Science for Science Education.Dordrecht: Springer.
[16]. Frautschi, Steven C.; Olenick, Richard P.; Apostol, Tom M.; Goodstein, David L. (2007). The Mechanical Universe: Mechanics and Heat (Advanced ed.). Cambridge [Cambridgeshire]: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-71590-4. OCLC 227002144.
[17]. Resnick, Robert (1968). Introduction to Special Relativity. Wiley. pp. 8–16. OCLC 1120819093.
[18]. José, Jorge V.; Saletan, Eugene J. (1998). Classical dynamics: A Contemporary Approach. Cambridge [England]: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-1-139-64890-5. OCLC 857769535.
[19]. Rosengrant, David; Van Heuvelen, Alan; Etkina, Eugenia (1 June 2009). "Do students use and understand free-body diagrams?". Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research. 5 (1): 010108. doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.5.010108. ISSN 1554-9178.
[20]. Feynman, Richard P.; Leighton, Robert B.; Sands, Matthew L. (1989) [1965]. The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Volume 1. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley Pub. Co. ISBN 0-201-02010-6. OCLC 531535.
[21]. Landau, Lev D.; Lifshitz, Evgeny M. (1969). Mechanics. Course of Theoretical Physics. Vol. 1. Translated by Sykes, J. B.; Bell, J. S. (2nd ed.). Pergamon Press. p. vii. ISBN 978-0-080-06466-6. OCLC 898931862. Only with this approach, indeed, can the exposition form a logical whole and avoid tautological definitions of the fundamental mechanical quantities. It is, moreover, essentially simpler, and leads to the most complete and direct means of solving problems in mechanics.
[22]. Hellingman, C. (March 1992). "Newton's third law revisited". Physics Education. 27 (2): 112–115. doi:10.1088/0031-9120/27/2/011. ISSN 0031-9120.
[23]. Stocklmayer, Sue; Rayner, John P.; Gore, Michael M. (October 2012). "Changing the Order of Newton's Laws—Why & How the Third Law Should be First". The Physics Teacher. 50 (7): 406–409. doi:10.1119/1.4752043. ISSN 0031-921X.